▲ AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED S	TATES DISTRIC	T COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
		District of	NEBRIASKAT OF HEURASKI	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2009 FEB -2 PM 4: 38	
	v.		OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
JO	SE ANTONIO SAMANIEGO-GARCIA Defendani	Case Number:	4:09MJ3008 OFFICE OF THE OLLING	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
Part I—Findings of Fact				
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe or local offense that would have been a federal of a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence i an offense for which a maximum term of imp	fense if a circumstance giving rise 3156(a)(4). is life imprisonment or death.	e to federal jurisdiction had existed that is	
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.				
	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
- (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defend	Alternative Findings (A) ant has committed an offense		
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment		in	
<u> </u>	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es the appearance of the defendant as required and the	he safety of the community.	dition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	Alternative Findings (B)		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will end		or the community.	
				
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that Defendent waves by the spread to delention.				
	-			
	-			
to the e reasonal Governi	edefendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving to ble opportunity for private consultation with defen-	g sentences or being held in custo se counsel. On order of a court of y shall deliver the defendant to the	ention entative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, ody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a of the United States or on request of an attorney for the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance of Judicial Officer	
		David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge		

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (e) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).